

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

7.9 - 10.282

CR-162147

Geologic application of
thermal-inertia mapping from satellite

Type II Progress Report
December 1978 - February 1979

Prepared for
Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Submitted by
Terry W. Offield, Principal Investigator
U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado 80225

(E79-10282) GEOLOGIC APPLICATION OF
THERMAL-INERTIA MAPPING FROM SATELLITE
Progress Report, 1 Dec. 1978 - Feb. 1979
(Geological Survey) 5 p HC A02/MF A01

N79-32607

CSCL 08B G3/43 00282

Unclass

Prepared by
Susanne H. Miller and Kenneth Watson
U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado 80225

"Data available under NASA sponsorship
is the interest of early and mid-life
termination of Earth Resources Survey
Program information and without liability
for any use made thereof."

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Geologic applications of thermal-inertia mapping from satellite		5. Report Date	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Susanne H. Miller and Kenneth Watson		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address U.S. Geological Survey Petrophysics and Remote Sensing Branch Box 25046 Federal Center, Stop 964 Denver, Colorado 80225		10. Work Unit No.	
		11. Contract or Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address James C. Broderick HCMM Investigative Support Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 902.6 Greenbelt, Maryland 20771		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Type II Progress Report Dec. 1, 1978-Feb. 28, 1979	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes			
16. Abstract No significant results were reported this period due to lack of HCMM data and to image-processing problems with our aircraft data.			
17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement	
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*

*For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

Figure 2. Technical Report Standard Title Page

A. Problems

No usable HCMM CCT's have been received during this reporting period. We have been notified that the U2 flights over both our Arizona and our Wyoming sites yielded no usable data. These areas have not been scheduled to be reflighted.

Problems were encountered with the USGS aircraft data of the Powder River Basin. A technique to minimize the effects of the noise has been devised and is being evaluated.

B. Accomplishments

Several hours of analog aircraft data of the Powder River Basin were digitized prior to recognizing that we had a significant noise problem. The noise, traced to a faulty motor in the scanner, was determined to be of low frequency. A high pass filter appears to be effective in minimizing the noise. It is now necessary to redigitize the analog data at a different sampling rate and to apply the filter.

C. Significant Results

There are no significant results to report for this time period.

D. Publications and Presentations

There are none for this reporting period.

E. Recommendations

HCMH data products need to be made available to us.

F. Funds Expended

Total expenditures to date: \$71,328

G. Data Utility

No usable U2 data were acquired for our investigation. No CCT's which contain thermal data of our test areas have been received.

REGIONAL THERMAL-INERTIA MAPPING
TO DISCRIMINATE GEOLOGIC MATERIALS

Kenneth Watson

U.S. Geological Survey
Denver, Colorado

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY

The launch of the HCMM satellite in April 1978 introduced a new era in geologic exploration by satellite. For the first time, thermal data appropriate for reconnaissance use and available over large regions of the globe are being acquired. Prior to this time, the primary sources of thermal data were either from aircraft or from weather satellites. Aircraft data are very restricted in geographic coverage, and of limited availability and accessibility to the scientific community. Meteorological satellite data have been acquired at too low a spatial and thermal resolution or at inappropriate times for most regional geologic applications.

Thermal-infrared data provide unique geologic information, complementing that obtained from Landsat reflectance data. Thermal inertia, a property derived from measurements of the surface-temperature response of materials to a known heating flux, is dependent on the density, the water content, and the composition of geologic materials. Thus it provides an additional dimension by which to discriminate units by remote sensing means. Also, because this property is derived from measurements over the diurnal cycle, its value is a weighted average over the thermal skin depth, thus providing information beneath that surface which is sensed by reflectance measurements. This skin depth varies between about 5 and 15 cm for materials ranging from dry soil to outcrop.

Thermal inertia of geologic materials correlates in a roughly linear fashion with bulk density; however, notable exceptions exist. For example, rocks high in quartz content have high thermal inertias; dolomites generally have thermal inertias roughly twice those of limestones; most igneous rocks have thermal inertias very similar to each other, and the moisture content of soils has a very significant effect on thermal inertia (an 8% increase in moisture of sandy soil results in a 6% density increase and a 75% thermal inertia increase). Thermal property measurements can thus be used to discriminate certain lithologic types, to map alteration associated with silicification or dolomitization, to differentiate soils with varying moisture contents and porosities, and to discriminate geologic units which are obscured by the presence of surface cover such as thin soil or desert varnish.

As with Landsat data, optimal usage of thermal data requires digital processing. However, two additional factors make the analysis more complex. The first is that the surface temperature is dependent not only on the incident solar flux but also on other fluxes such as the downward-sky thermal radiation and the atmospheric convective heat transfer--the latter two of which are not observable from satellites. The second factor is that the surface temperature is a response to the previous history of the surface fluxes--unlike reflectivity measurements which are instantaneous values independent of the previous fluxes. Accounting for these two factors requires three additional constraints for the optimal extraction of geologic information from thermal data: (a) collection of repetitive thermal data, (b) development of a thermal model, and (c) availability of regional meteorologic information.

Day and night thermal data can be used to estimate the amplitude of the diurnal surface-temperature variation, and daytime reflection data can be used to compute the absorbed solar flux. These data can then be mathematically combined to obtain an estimate of the thermal inertia. A quantity defined as $(1-A)/DV$, where A is the reflectivity and DV is the day-night temperature difference, is called the relative thermal inertia. Under clear, stable meteorological conditions, and in areas of slight topographic relief and vegetation cover, this quantity has been related to the thermal inertia of the geologic materials using a simple thermal model. Various parametric forms relating this relative value to actual thermal inertia have been developed, and our current research indicates that a non-linear relationship is required. The use of a linear and proportional law can be satisfactory in certain cases where large thermal-inertia differences exist. The additional complexity introduced by topographic relief, vegetation cover, and convective heat transfer have only been considered in rudimentary form. Regional meteorological variations introduce an ultimate constraint on the applicability of the technique, because the critical data necessary to account for these effects are rarely collected in a routine fashion over many areas. The most promising initial use of thermal-inertia mapping will thus be limited to those data sets acquired during nearly optimum meteorological conditions.

Thermal data provide information in addition to thermal property values for geologic studies. Unique enhancements of topographic features have been previously reported, including display of various types of geomorphic information at different scales, depending on the time of day. Subtle, structurally controlled moisture zones have been detected on thermal images, and detection of geothermal heat fluxes ranging from effusive volcanism and hot springs to features with no visible anomaly has been reported.

Further extensions of the use of thermal data for geologic studies will be based on the development of more complete thermal models, the routine use of topographic and regional meteorological data in the analysis, and incorporation of satellite data acquired at additional times in the diurnal cycle. These studies should ultimately lay the ground work for the development of future thermal satellite systems with similar crossing times and thermal characteristics but with higher ground resolution (comparable to the present Landsat system) and truly worldwide data coverage.